

Some Fixed Point Theorems on b_2 - Metric Spaces

Bheem Singh Patel¹, Zaheer Kareem Ansari², Dharmendra Kumar³, Arun Garg¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Madhyanchal Professional University, Bhopal, India

²Department of Mathematics, JSS Academy of Technical Education, Noida, India

³Department of Mathematics Satyawati College (Evening), University of Delhi, Delhi, India

Email address:

Bheemsir117@gmail.com (Bheem Singh Patel), zkansari10@gmail.com (Zaheer Kareem Ansari),

dharmendra_kumar215@yahoo.com (Dharmendra Kumar), gargaran1956@gmail.com (Arun Garg)

To cite this article:

Bheem Singh Patel, Zaheer Kareem Ansari, Dharmendra Kumar, Arun Garg. Some Fixed Point Theorems on b_2 - Metric Spaces. *Pure and Applied Mathematics Journal*. Vol. 12, No. 4, 2023, pp. 72-78. doi: 10.11648/j.pamj.20231204.12

Received: August 25, 2023; **Accepted:** September 14, 2023; **Published:** September 27, 2023

Abstract: In this study, we generalize both b-metric spaces and 2-metric spaces into a new class of generalized metric spaces that we call b_2 -metric spaces. Then, under various contractive circumstances in partially ordered spaces, we demonstrate a few fixed point theorems in b_2 -metric space. Many Mathematician gave the concept of b_2 -metric spaces as a generalization of 2-metric space. The purpose of this research article to established some results of 2-metric space proved by the Arun Garg et al. in b_2 -metric spaces and prove new results.

Keywords: Fixed Point, b - Metric Space, 2-Metric Space, Partial Order Set, Generalized Contractive Mappings

1. Introduction

The idea of metric spaces in functional analysis was initially introduced by Maurice Frechet in 1906 [29]. Mathematicians have since developed the idea of metric spaces in a variety of ways.

Czerwik and many other writers investigated, introduced, and proved various fixed point solutions for single and multi valued mappings in 1993 [1, 2]. Czerwik also studied, introduced, and proved the idea of a b-metric space.

On the other hand, Gähler offered the idea of a 2-metric in [3], using the encouraging example of a triangle's area in R^3 . For mappings in these spaces, multiple fixed point results were also attained. It is important to keep in mind that 2-metric spaces are not topologically equal to metric spaces, unlike many other recent generalizations of metric spaces, and there is no direct connection between the conclusions produced in 2-metric and in metric spaces.

Different Mathematician studied the various types of mappings in b-Metric Space and 2-Metric Spaces [4-26].

As a generalization of both 2-metric and b-metric spaces, Zead Mustafa et. al. [27] offer the idea of b_2 -metric spaces in their study. Then, in partially ordered b_2 -metric spaces, he established a few fixed point theorems under various contractive circumstances.

We expand the findings of the b-complete b-metric space in to b_2 -metric spaces in this study.

2. Mathematical Preliminaries

The definitions provided by Zead Mustafa et al. [27] are as follows:

Definition 1: Let \mathcal{X} be a nonempty set, $s \geq 1$ be a real number and let $\delta: \mathcal{X}^3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be a map satisfying the following conditions:

1. For every pair of distinct points $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists a point $z \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\delta(x, y, z) \neq 0$
2. If two of three points x, y, z are same then $\delta(x, y, z) = 0$
3. The symmetry:

$$\delta(x, y, z) = \delta(x, z, y) = \delta(y, x, z) = \delta(y, z, x) = \delta(z, x, y) = \delta(z, y, x) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}.$$

4. The rectangle inequality:
$$\delta(x, y, z) \leq s[\delta(x, y, t) + \delta(y, z, t) + \delta(z, x, t)] \text{ for all } x, y, z, t \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Definition 2: Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a b_2 -metric space (\mathcal{X}, δ) . Then

1. $\{x_n\}$ is said to be b_2 -convergent to $x \in \mathcal{X}$, written as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ if for all $a \in \mathcal{X}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, x, a) = 0$.
2. $\{x_n\}$ is said to be a b_2 -Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{X} if for all $a \in \mathcal{X}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, x_m, a) = 0$.
3. (\mathcal{X}, δ) is said to be b_2 -complete if every b_2 -Cauchy sequence is a b_2 -Convergent sequence.

Some simple b_2 -metric space examples are provided below [27]:

Example 1: Let $\phi = [0, \infty)$ and $\delta(x, y, z) = [xy, yz, zx]^p$ if $x \neq y \neq z \neq x$, and otherwise

$\delta(x, y, z) = 0$, where $p \geq 1$ is a real number. Evidently, from convexity of function

$f(x) = x^p$ for $x \geq 0$, then by Jensen inequality, we have

$$(a + b + c)^p \leq 3^{p-1}(a^p + b^p + c^p)$$

So, one can obtain the result that (\mathcal{X}, δ) b_2 -metric space with $s \leq 3^{p-1}$.

Example 2: Let a mapping $\delta: \mathcal{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be defined by

$$\frac{1}{s^2} \delta(x, y, a) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, y_n, a) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, y_n, a) \leq s^2 \delta(x, y, a)$$

for all " a " in \mathcal{X} . In particular, if $y_n = y$ is constant, then

$$\frac{1}{s} \delta(x, y, a) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, y_n, a) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, y_n, a) \leq s \delta(x, y, a)$$

Proof: It is simple to observe that using the rectangle inequality in the provided b_2 -metric space

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x, y, a) &= \delta(x, a, y) \leq s\delta(x, a, x_n) + s\delta(a, y, x_n) + s\delta(y, x, x_n) \\ &\leq s\delta(x, a, x_n) + s^2[\delta(a, y, y_n) + \delta(y, x_n, y_n) + \delta(x_n, a, y_n)] + s\delta(y, x_n, y_n) \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x_n, y_n, a) &= \delta(x_n, a, y_n) \leq s\delta(x_n, a, x) + s\delta(a, y_n, x) + s\delta(y_n, x, x_n) \\ &\leq s\delta(x_n, a, x) + s^2[\delta(a, y_n, y) + \delta(y_n, x, y) + \delta(x, a, y)] + s\delta(y_n, x, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

We get the desired outcome by using $n \rightarrow \infty$ as the upper limit in the second inequality and $n \rightarrow \infty$ as the lower limit in the first inequality.

If $y_n = y$, then

$$\delta(x, y, a) \leq s\delta(x, y, x_n) + s\delta(y, a, x_n) + s\delta(a, x, x_n)$$

And

$$\delta(x_n, y, a) \leq s\delta(x_n, y, x) + s\delta(y, a, x) + s\delta(a, x_n, x)$$

Main Results:

We begin by demonstrating a lemma that states the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a b_2 -Cauchy sequence.

$$\delta(x, y, z) = \min\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x|\}$$

Then δ is a 2-metric on \mathcal{R} , i. e., the following inequality holds:

$$\delta(x, y, z) \leq \delta(x, y, t) + \delta(y, z, t) + \delta(z, x, t)$$

for arbitrary real numbers x, y, z, t . Using convexity of the function

$f(x) = x^p$ on $[0, +\infty)$ for $p \geq 1$, we obtain that $\delta_p = \min\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x|\}^p$ is a b_2 -metric on \mathcal{R} with $s < 3^{p-1}$.

Proposition 1: Let (\mathcal{X}, δ) and (\mathcal{X}', δ') be two b_2 -metric spaces. Then a

Mapping $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ is b_2 -continuous at a point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ if and only if it is b_2 -sequentially continuous at x ; that is, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is b_2 -

Convergent to x , $\{fx_n\}$ is b_2 -convergent to $f(x)$.

Lemma 1 [27]: Let (\mathcal{X}, δ) be a b_2 -metric space and suppose that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are b_2 -convergent to x and y , respectively. Then we have

Lemma 2: Let (\mathcal{X}, ∂) be a b_2 -metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $\Gamma: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a mapping.

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{X} induced by $x_{n+1} = \Gamma x_n$ such that

$$\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq \alpha \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \quad (1)$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ is a constant. Then $\{x_n\}$ is a b_2 -Cauchy sequence.

Proof: Suppose $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and $x_{n+1} = \Gamma x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For the lemma's proof, three separate cases are taken into account.

Case I: Let $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$. By (1), we have

$$\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq \alpha \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)$$

$$\leq \alpha^2 \partial(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, a)$$

$$\leq \alpha^3 \partial(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}, a)$$

$$\leq \alpha^n \partial(x_0, x_1, a)$$

Thus, for any $n \geq m$ and $n, m \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(x_m, x_n, a) &\leq s[\partial(x_m, x_{m+1}, a) + \partial(x_{m+1}, x_n, a)] \\ &\leq s\partial(x_m, x_{m+1}, a) + s^2[\partial(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, a) + \partial(x_{m+2}, x_n, a)] \\ &\leq s\partial(x_m, x_{m+1}, a) + s^2\partial(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, a) + s^3[\partial(x_{m+2}, x_{m+3}, a) + \partial(x_{m+3}, x_n, a)] \\ &\leq s\partial(x_m, x_{m+1}, a) + s^2\partial(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, a) + s^3\partial(x_{m+2}, x_{m+3}, a) + s^4\partial(x_{m+3}, x_{m+4}, a) + \\ &\quad \dots + s^{n-m-1}\partial(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, a) + s^{n-m-1}\partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \\ &\leq s\alpha^m \partial(x_0, x_1, a) + s^2\alpha^{m+1}\partial(x_0, x_1, a) + s^3\alpha^{m+2}\partial(x_0, x_1, a) + s^4\alpha^{m+3}\partial(x_0, x_1, a) + \\ &\quad \dots + s^{n-m-1}\alpha^{n-2}\partial(x_0, x_1, a) + s^{n-m-1}\alpha^{n-1}\partial(x_0, x_1, a) \\ &\leq s\alpha^m [1 + s\alpha + s^2\alpha^2 + s^3\alpha^3 + s^4\alpha^4 + \dots + s^{n-m-1}\alpha^{n-m-2} + s^{n-m-1}\alpha^{n-m-1}] \partial(x_0, x_1, a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq s\alpha^m \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (s\alpha)^i \right] \partial(x_0, x_1, a)$$

$$= \frac{s\alpha^m}{1-s\alpha} \partial(x_0, x_1, a), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \text{ which implies that } \{x_n\}$$

is a b_2 - Cauchy sequence.

In other words $\{\Gamma^n x_0\}$ is a b_2 - Cauchy sequence.

Case II: Now, let $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{s}, 1)$, ($s > 1$). In this case, we have

$\alpha^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so there is

$n_0 \in N$, such that $\alpha^{n_0} < s$. Thus, by case I, we claim that

$\{(\Gamma^{n_0})^n x_0\}_{n=0}^{\infty} := \{x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+2}, \dots, x_{n_0+n}, \dots\}$ is a

b_2 - Cauchy sequence. Then

$$\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} := \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0-1}, \dots\} \cup$$

$$\begin{aligned} s\partial(\Gamma x, \Gamma y, a) &\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x, y, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x, \Gamma x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma y, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x, \Gamma y, a) \partial(y, \Gamma x, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} + \\ &\alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x, \Gamma x, a) \partial(x, \Gamma y, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(y, \Gamma x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma y, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ and α_5 are positive constant with $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + s\alpha_4 + s\alpha_5) < 1$. Then Γ has a unique fixed point in \mathcal{X} . Moreover, for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the iterative sequence $\{\Gamma^n x\}$ ($n \in N$) b_2 -converges to fixed point.

Proof: Assuming $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ such, we create an iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = \Gamma x_n$ ($n \in N$).

$$\{x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+2}, \dots, x_{n_0+n}, \dots\}$$

is a b_2 - Cauchy sequence in X .

Case III: Let $s=1$, then the proof of lemma is similar to case I.

Now we prove the theorems of Arun Garg et. al [28] in b_2 - metric spaces:

Theorem 3: Let (\mathcal{X}, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exist a b_2 - metric

∂ on \mathcal{X} such that (\mathcal{X}, ∂) is a b_2 - complete metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$

and $\Gamma: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a mapping such that

If there exist $n_0 \in N$ such that $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$, then $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} = \Gamma x_{n_0}$, I.e. x_{n_0} is a fixed point of Γ .

Without losing generality, let's move on, suppose $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all ($n \in N$), then by (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
s\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &= s\partial(\Gamma x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_n, a) \\
&\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a) \partial(x_n, \Gamma x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_n, a) \partial(x_n, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \\
&\alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a) \partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(x_n, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a) \partial(x_n, \Gamma x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} \\
&\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, a) \partial(x_n, x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \\
&\alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(x_n, x_n, a) \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} \\
&\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \alpha_2 \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + \alpha_4 s[\partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] \\
s\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &\leq (\alpha_1 + s\alpha_4) \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + (\alpha_2 + s\alpha_4) \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \\
(s - \alpha_2 - s\alpha_4) \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &\leq (\alpha_1 + s\alpha_4) \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned}
s\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &= s\partial(\Gamma x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_n, a) \\
&\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a) \partial(x_n, \Gamma x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x_n, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a) \partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \\
&\alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x_n, \Gamma x_n, a) \partial(x_n, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_{n-1}, a) \partial(x_{n-1}, \Gamma x_n, a)}{1 + \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)} \\
s\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \alpha_2 \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + \alpha_5 s[\partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] \\
(s - \alpha_2 - s\alpha_5) \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &\leq (\alpha_1 + s\alpha_5) \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Adding (3) and (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2s - 2\alpha_2 - s\alpha_4 - s\alpha_5) \partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &\leq (2\alpha_1 + s\alpha_4 + s\alpha_5) \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \\
\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &\leq \frac{(2\alpha_1 + s\alpha_4 + s\alpha_5)}{(2s - 2\alpha_2 - s\alpha_4 - s\alpha_5)} \partial(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \\
\alpha &= \frac{(2\alpha_1 + s\alpha_4 + s\alpha_5)}{(2s - 2\alpha_2 - s\alpha_4 - s\alpha_5)}
\end{aligned}$$

In view of $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + s\alpha_4 + s\alpha_5) < 1$, then $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$. Thus by the Lemma (2), $\{x_n\}$ is a b_2 -Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{X} . Since (\mathcal{X}, ∂) is a b_2 -complete, then there exists some point x^* such that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then by (2), we can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}
s\partial(\Gamma x, \Gamma y, a) &\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x_n, x^*, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x_n, \Gamma x_n, a) \partial(x^*, \Gamma x^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x_n, \Gamma x^*, a) \partial(x^*, \Gamma x^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} + \\
&\alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x_n, \Gamma x_n, a) \partial(x_n, \Gamma x^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(x^*, \Gamma x_n, a) \partial(x^*, \Gamma x^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x, y, a)} \\
&= \alpha_1 \partial(x_n, x^*, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \partial(x^*, Tx^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x_n, x^*, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x_n, Tx^*, a) \partial(x^*, Tx^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x_n, x^*, a)} + \\
&\alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \partial(x^*, Tx^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x_n, x^*, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(x^*, x_{n+1}, a) \partial(x^*, Tx^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x_n, x^*, a)}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ both the sides of (5), we get
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_{n+1}, \Gamma x^*) = 0$ i.e. $x_n \rightarrow \Gamma x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

x^* is a fixed point of Γ as a result.

To demonstrate the fixed point's uniqueness, we assume that if there is a second fixed point y^* , then by (2), we get

It demonstrates that $\Gamma x^* = x^*$ by virtue of the limit of the b_2 -convergent sequence's uniqueness.

$$\begin{aligned} s\partial(\Gamma x^*, \Gamma y^*, a) &\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x^*, y^*, a) + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial(x^*, \Gamma x^*, a) \partial(y^*, \Gamma y^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x^*, y^*, a)} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial(x^*, \Gamma y^*, a) \partial(y^*, \Gamma x^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x^*, y^*, a)} + \\ &\alpha_4 \frac{\partial(x^*, \Gamma x^*, a) \partial(x^*, \Gamma y^*, a)}{1 + \partial(x^*, y^*, a)} + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial(y, \Gamma x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma y, a)}{1 + \partial(x^*, y^*, a)} \\ s\partial(\Gamma x^*, \Gamma y^*, a) &\leq \alpha_1 \partial(x^*, y^*, a) + \alpha_3 \partial(x^*, \Gamma y^*, a) \\ \partial(x^*, y^*, a) &\leq \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)}{s} (x^*, y^*, a) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

As $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + s\alpha_4 + s\alpha_5) < 1$, this implies that $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) < 1$, as $s \geq 1$.

We conclude from (6) that $\partial(x^*, y^*, a) = 0 \Rightarrow x^* = y^*$.

∂ on \mathcal{X} such that (\mathcal{X}, ∂) is a b_2 - complete b_2 - metric

We now generalize Naidu's [6] finding.

space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and Γ_1 & Γ_2 be a pair of self

Theorem 4: Let (\mathcal{X}, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exist a b_2 - metric

mapping from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{X} satisfying the following conditions:

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} s[\partial^2(\Gamma_1 x, \Gamma_2 y, a)] &\leq \alpha \partial(x, \Gamma_1 x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma_2 y, a) + \beta \partial(y, \Gamma_1 x, a) \partial(x, \Gamma_2 y, a) - \min \{ \partial(x, y, a) \partial(y, \Gamma_2 y, a), \\ &\partial(x, \Gamma_1 x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma_2 y, a), \partial(x, \Gamma_1 x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma_1 x, a), \partial(x, \Gamma_2 y, a) \partial(y, \Gamma_1 x, a), \partial(y, \Gamma_1 x, a) \partial(y, \Gamma_2 y, a) \} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

(b) Γ_1 & Γ_2 are compatible pair for every $x, y, a \in \mathcal{X}$ and for some non-negative α, β , with $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1$ and $\frac{\alpha + 2\beta s}{s} = \lambda < 1$. Then

Γ_1 & Γ_2 have a common fixed point in \mathcal{X} . Further, if $\frac{\beta}{s} < 1$, then Γ_1 & Γ_2 have a unique fixed point.

Proof: Let $\frac{\alpha + 2\beta s}{s} = \lambda$, we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ subset of \mathcal{X} for an arbitrary point $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\Gamma_1 x_n = x_{n+1}$,

$\Gamma_2 x_{n+1} = x_{n+2}$, $n=0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

$$\begin{aligned} s[\delta^2(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] &= s[\delta^2(\Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, \Gamma_2 x_n, a)] \\ &\leq \alpha [\delta(x_{n-1}, \Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, a) \delta(x_n, \Gamma_2 x_n, a)] + \beta [\delta(x_n, \Gamma_1 x_n, a) \delta(x_{n-1}, \Gamma_2 x_{n-1}, a)] \\ &\quad \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, \Gamma_2 x_n, a), \delta(x_{n-1}, \Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, a) \delta(x_n, \Gamma_2 x_n, a), \\ &\quad -\min \{ \delta(x_{n-1}, \Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, a) \delta(x_n, \Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, a), \delta(x_{n-1}, \Gamma_2 x_n, a) \delta(x_n, \Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, a), \\ &\quad \delta(x_n, \Gamma_2 x_n, a) \delta(x_n, \Gamma_1 x_{n-1}, a) \} \\ s[\delta^2(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] &\leq \alpha [\delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] + \beta [\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) s \{ \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \}] \\ &\quad \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a), \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a), \\ &\quad -\min \{ \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_n, a), \delta(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, a) \delta(x_n, x_n, a), \\ &\quad \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \delta(x_n, x_n, a) \} \\ s[\delta^2(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] &\leq \alpha [\delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] + \beta s [\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)] + \beta s [\delta^2(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] \\ &\quad -\min \{ \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a), \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a), \\ &\quad 0, 0, 0 \} \end{aligned}$$

$$s(1-\beta)\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq (\alpha + s\beta)\delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)$$

$$\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq \frac{(\alpha + s\beta)}{s(1-\beta)} \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)$$

$$(\alpha + s\beta) \prec s(1-\beta) \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{\alpha + s\beta}{s} \prec 1$$

$$\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq \lambda \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \quad (8)$$

Replacing x, y, a by x_{n-1}, x_n, a respectively, we have

$$\delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \leq \lambda \delta(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, a) \quad (9)$$

From (8) and (9), we have

$$\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq \lambda^2 \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \quad (10)$$

Continue in same manner, n times, we have

$$\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \leq \lambda^n \delta(x_0, x_1, a)$$

Thus, for some $m, n > 0, m \succ n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x_n, x_m, a) &\leq \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + \delta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) + \delta(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}, a) + \dots + \delta(x_{m-1}, x_m, a) \\ &\leq (\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \dots + \lambda^{m-1}) \delta(x_0, x_1, a) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^n (1 - \lambda^{m-n})}{1 - \lambda} \delta(x_0, x_1, a). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\delta(x_n, x_m, a) \rightarrow 0$.

$\Rightarrow \{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy b_2 -sequence in \mathcal{X} .

Again Γ_1, Γ_2 are compatible pair and $\{x_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is a sequence then $\{\delta(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 x_n, \Gamma_2 \Gamma_1 x_n)\} \rightarrow 0$ as $\{\Gamma_1 x_n\}$ and $\{\Gamma_2 x_n\}$ converges to same limit. So,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_2 \Gamma_1 x_n \Rightarrow \Gamma_1(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_2 x_n) = \Gamma_2(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_1 x_n) \Rightarrow \Gamma_1 u = \Gamma_2 u = u$, for some u i.e. u is a common fixed point for Γ_1 and Γ_2

To demonstrate the fixed point's exclusivity, we assume that if there is a second fixed point v , then by (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s[\partial^2(\Gamma_1 u, \Gamma_2 v, a)] &\leq \alpha \partial(u, \Gamma_1 u, a) \partial(v, \Gamma_2 v, a) + \beta \partial(v, \Gamma_1 u, a) \partial(u, \Gamma_2 v, a) - \min\{\partial(u, v, a) \partial(v, \Gamma_2 v, a), \\ &\partial(u, \Gamma_1 u, a) \partial(v, \Gamma_2 v, a), \partial(u, \Gamma_1 u, a) \partial(v, \Gamma_1 u, a), \partial(u, \Gamma_2 v, a) \partial(v, \Gamma_1 u, a), \partial(v, \Gamma_1 u, a) \partial(v, \Gamma_2 v, a)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial(u, v, a) \leq \frac{\beta}{s} \partial(u, v, a)$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial(u, v, a) = 0 \text{ as } \frac{\beta}{s} \prec 1.$$

$\Rightarrow u = v$. This completes the proof.

spaces.

3. Conclusion

Zead Mustafa et al. [27] introduce the notion of b_2 -metric spaces as a generalization of both 2-metric and b -metric spaces. He then proved a few fixed point theorems in a variety of contractive settings in partially ordered b_2 -metric

References

- [1] Czerwik, S: Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces. Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav. 1, 5-11 (1993).
- [2] Czerwik, S: Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b -metric spaces. Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 46, 263-276 (1998).

- [3] Gähler, VS: 2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische Struktur. Math. Nachr. 26, 115-118 (1963).
- [4] Hussain, N, Parvaneh, V, Roshan, JR, Kadelburg, Z: Fixed points of cyclic weakly (ψ, ϕ, L, A, B) - contractive mappings in ordered b -metric spaces with applications. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, Article ID 256 (2013).
- [5] Dung, NV, Le Hang, VT: Fixed point theorems for weak C -contractions in partially ordered 2-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, Article ID 161 (2013).
- [6] Naidu, SVR, Prasad, JR: Fixed point theorems in 2-metric spaces. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 17 (8), 974-993 (1986).
- [7] Aliouche, A, Simpson, C: Fixed points and lines in 2-metric spaces. Adv. Math. 229, 668- 690 (2012).
- [8] Deshpande, B, Chouhan, S: Common fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs of mappings with some weaker conditions in 2-metric spaces. Fasc. Math. 46, 37-55 (2011).
- [9] Freese, RW, Cho, YJ, Kim, SS: Strictly 2-convex linear 2-normed spaces. J. Korean Math. Soc. 29 (2), 391-400 (1992).
- [10] Iseki, K: Fixed point theorems in 2-metric spaces. Math. Semin. Notes 3, 133-136 (1975).
- [11] Iseki, K: Mathematics on 2-normed spaces. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 13 (2), 127-135 (1976).
- [12] Lahiri, BK, Das, P, Dey, LK: Cantor's theorem in 2-metric spaces and its applications to fixed point problems. Taiwan. J. Math. 15, 337-352 (2011).
- [13] Lai, SN, Singh, AK: An analogue of Banach's contraction principle in 2-metric spaces. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 18, 137-143 (1978).
- [14] Popa, V, Imdad, M, Ali, J: Using implicit relations to prove unified fixed point theorems in metric and 2-metric spaces. Bull. Malays. Math. Soc. 33, 105-120 (2010).
- [15] Ahmed, MA: A common fixed point theorem for expansive mappings in 2-metric spaces and its application. Chaos Solitons Fractals 42 (5), 2914-2920 (2009).
- [16] Geraghty, M: On contractive mappings. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 40, 604-608 (1973) 17.
- [17] Đukic, D, Kadelburg, Z, Radenovic, S: Fixed points of Geraghty-type mappings in various generalized metric spaces. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2011, Article ID 561245 (2011).
- [18] Berinde, V: On the approximation of fixed points of weak contractive mappings. Carpath. J. Math. 19, 7-22 (2003).
- [19] Berinde, V: Approximating fixed points of weak contractions using the Picard iteration. Nonlinear Anal. Forum 9, 43-53 (2004).
- [20] Berinde, V: General contractive fixed point theorems for 'Ciric-type almost contraction in metric spaces. Carpath. J. Math. 24, 10-19 (2008).
- [21] Berinde, V: Some remarks on a fixed point theorem for 'Ciric-type almost contractions. Carpath. J. Math. 25, 157-162 (2009).
- [22] Babu, GVR, Sandhya, ML, Kameswari, MVR: A note on a fixed point theorem of Berinde on weak contractions. Carpath. J. Math. 24, 8-12 (2008).
- [23] Roshan, JR, Parvaneh, V, Sedghi, S, Shobkolaei, N, Shatanawi, W: Common fixed points of almost generalized $(\psi, \phi)s$ -contractive mappings in ordered b -metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, Article ID 159 (2013).
- [24] Ciric, L, Abbas, M, Saadati, R, Hussain, N: Common fixed points of almost generalized contractive mappings in ordered metric spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 217, 5784-5789 (2011).
- [25] Khan, MS, Swaleh, M, Sessa, S: Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 30, 1-9 (1984).
- [26] Fathollahi, S, Hussain, N, Khan, LA: Fixed point results for modified weak and rational α - ψ -contractions in ordered 2-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014, Article ID 6 (2014).
- [27] Mustafa et al., b_2 -Metric spaces and some fixed point theorems. Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2014: 144.
- [28] A. Garg, Z. K. Ansari and R. Shrivastava, Some common fixed point theorems in 2-Metric Space, South Asian J Math, 2011, 1 (3), 106-110.
- [29] Fréchet, M. M. Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel. Rend. Circ. Matem. Palermo 22, 1-72 (1906). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03018603>